

February 1, 2008

On the Flattening of Negative Curvature via T-Duality with a Non-Constant B -field

Axel Krause¹*Humboldt-Universität, Institut für Physik, D-10115 Berlin, Germany*

Abstract

In an earlier paper, Alvarez, Alvarez-Gaumé, Barbón and Lozano pointed out, that the only way to “flatten” negative curvature by means of a T-duality is by introducing an appropriate, non-constant NS-NS 2-form B . In this paper, we are investigating this further and ask, whether it is possible to T-dualize AdS_d space to flat space with some suitably chosen B . To answer this question, we derive the relation between the original curvature tensor and the one of the T-dualized metric involving the B -field. It turns out that there is one component which is independent of B . By inspection of this component we show that it is not possible to dualize AdS_d to flat space irrespective of the choice of B . Finally, we examine the extension of AdS to an $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ geometry and propose a chain of S- and T-dualities together with an $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ coordinate transformation, leading to a dual D9-brane geometry.

PACS: 11.25.-w

Keywords: T-Duality, NS-NS B-Field

¹E-mail: krause@physics.umd.edu; now at Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

By now the AdS-CFT conjecture [1, 2, 3] has passed an enormous amount of tests (see [4] for a review). Most of them explored the large N duality between the boundary-CFT and the supergravity on AdS_5 . The common radius of the S^5 and the length-scale of the AdS_5 , R , is given by $R^4 = (\alpha')^2 \lambda$, with $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ representing the 't Hooft coupling. In general supergravity as the low-energy limit of string-theory is trustworthy only at large scales, i.e. small curvatures. Thus tests of the duality probing the supergravity regime explore the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ parameter region. This predicts how the CFT at large N behaves in the extreme non-perturbative regime.

The interesting parameter regime, interpolating between the perturbative $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and the extreme non-perturbative $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ regime, demands that we keep λ finite. Since the closed string coupling constant g_s and the Yang-Mills coupling constant are related via $g_{YM}^2 = 4\pi g_s$, the large N limit with λ finite, requires $g_s \rightarrow 0$. Thus via the AdS-CFT conjecture, we are able to extract the full quantum information about the CFT in the large N limit by calculating simply IIB string tree-diagrams. Unfortunately, this wonderful perspective is obstructed by the fact, that IIB string-theory in the RNS-formulation on an $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ background with N units of RR 5-form flux through the S^5 is still obscure (see [5] for an approach). On the other hand there are proposals for a GS-formulation [6] which is non-linear and therefore its quantization and computation of string scattering amplitudes seems to be difficult.

Since string-theory on an $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ background is not readily available, one may seek resort to a dual description of IIB string-theory on a, hopefully, easier background. As a first step into this direction, we want to analyze in this paper, whether pure AdS_d space can be dualized to flat Minkowski space. This would have important consequences for the cosmological constant in string-theory brane-worlds based on a bulk AdS_d space [7]. The concrete motivation for this problem stems from the observation, made in [8], that the only way to “flatten” negative curvature under T-duality is by introducing an appropriate torsion, generated by $B_{\mu\nu}$, in the initial space-time. This can be seen from the following formula², relating the dual curvature scalar $\tilde{R}$ to the initial curvature scalar [8]

$$\tilde{R} = R + 4 \triangle \ln k + \frac{1}{k^2} H_{\iota\alpha\beta} H^{\iota\alpha\beta} - \frac{k^2}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} . \quad (1)$$

Here $A_\alpha = k_\alpha/k^2$, $k_\alpha = g_{\iota\alpha}$ with the associated field-strength $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha$. Furthermore, $\triangle \ln k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\alpha (\sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \ln k)$ denotes the d'Alembertian with respect to the initial metric. As usual, the torsion 3-form is the field strength³ $H = dB$ of the NS-NS 2-form $B_{\mu\nu}$. The Killing vector, corresponding to the assumed translational isometry exhibited by the initial space-time, is given by k_μ and its norm defined by $k = \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu}$. One could now try to solve (1) for $R = -\Lambda$ and $\tilde{R} = 0$, with Λ a positive constant. But

²The index ι represents the isometry direction, whereas $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ label the remaining directions.

³Our conventions are:

$$B = \frac{1}{2!} B_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu , \quad H = \frac{1}{3} H_{\mu\nu\rho} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho .$$

this would include any solution of the vacuum Einstein equations. To decide whether we arrive at flat space after T-dualization, we have to regard the Riemann curvature tensor.

Let us start quite generally by assuming some coordinate representation of a d -dimensional manifold, given by⁴ $x^\mu = (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{d-2}, x^{d-1}) = (x^\alpha, x^\iota)$; $\alpha = 0, \dots, d-2$. Furthermore, the initial metric is supposed to be of the form

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta + g_{\iota\iota} dx^\iota dx^\iota . \quad (2)$$

From the Buscher rules for T-duality

$$\tilde{g}_{\iota\iota} = \frac{1}{g_{\iota\iota}} , \quad \tilde{g}_{\iota\alpha} = \frac{B_{\iota\alpha}}{g_{\iota\iota}} , \quad \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{(g_{\iota\alpha}g_{\iota\beta} - B_{\iota\alpha}B_{\iota\beta})}{g_{\iota\iota}} ,$$

we observe, that our choice of $g_{\iota\alpha} = 0$ cannot be compensated by an appropriate choice of some real-valued $B_{\iota\alpha}$ (only an imaginary would suffice). The reason why we set nevertheless $g_{\iota\alpha} = 0$, from the outset, is the following. According to (1), a non-vanishing $g_{\iota\alpha} = k_\alpha$ tends to make the curvature scalar of the dual metric more negative. Since we start with a negatively curved AdS_d and aim to bring it to flat space, a $k_\alpha \neq 0$ would obstruct our intention.

We assume that the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha)$ and the NS-NS 2-form $B_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha)$ do not depend on x^ι . These conditions are exactly those, which are required in order to leave the σ -model action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z (g_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}) \partial X^\mu \bar{\partial} X^\nu$$

invariant under infinitesimal shifts $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \epsilon k^\mu$. The Killing vector associated with the resulting abelian translational isometry, is $k^\mu \partial_\mu = \partial/\partial x^\iota$, with $k^\mu = (k^\alpha, k^\iota) = (0, \dots, 1)$. With the resulting norm $k = \sqrt{g_{\iota\iota}}$ of the Killing vector, a more convenient expression for the metric (2) in view of our later application, is given in terms of an anholonomic vielbein co-base by

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ab} e^a e^b + e^i e^i = \eta_{mn} e^m e^n , \quad (3)$$

where the chosen anholonomic vielbein $e^l = (e^a, e^i)$ reads

$$e^a = e^a_\alpha(x^\beta) dx^\alpha , \quad e^i = e^i_\iota dx^\iota = \delta^i_\iota k(x^\alpha) dx^\iota , \quad (4)$$

such that its components e^l_λ are

$$e^a_\alpha = e^a_\alpha(x^\beta) , \quad e^i_\alpha = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$e^a_\iota = 0 , \quad e^i_\iota = \delta^i_\iota k . \quad (6)$$

Later, we will also need the inverted vielbein of the isometry direction $e_i^\iota = \delta_i^\iota/k$.

The above mentioned σ -model action is invariant [9] to first order in ϵ under the translational isometry $\delta_\epsilon x^\mu = \epsilon k^\mu$, if k^μ satisfies the Killing equation $(\mathcal{L}_k g)_{\mu\nu} = k^\lambda g_{\mu\nu,\lambda} + k^\lambda_{,\mu} g_{\lambda\nu} + k^\lambda_{,\nu} g_{\lambda\mu} = \partial g_{\mu\nu}/\partial x^\iota = 0$ and the torsion obeys $\mathcal{L}_k H = 0$. This implies $\mathcal{L}_k B = dw$

⁴Indices λ, μ, ν, π run from $0, \dots, d-1$, whereas indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon$ run over $0, \dots, d-2$.

for some 1-form w . Here $\mathcal{L}_k$ and d denote the space-time Lie- and exterior derivative. Locally, this is solved by $w = i_k B - v$ with $dv = -i_k H$ for some 1-form v . Under i_k we understand the interior product. From now on, we will choose the gauge $w = 0$, which leads us, together with the identity $(i_k B)_\mu = k^\nu B_{\nu\mu} = B_{\iota\mu}$, to

$$v_\alpha = B_{\iota\alpha} .$$

The T-dual metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ reduces in the case of $k^\alpha = 0$ to

$$\tilde{g}_{\iota\iota} = \frac{1}{k^2} , \quad \tilde{g}_{\alpha\iota} = \frac{v_\alpha}{k^2} , \quad \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{v_\alpha v_\beta}{k^2} .$$

Thus the dual line-element can be written as

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta + 2\tilde{g}_{\alpha\iota} dx^\alpha dx^\iota + \tilde{g}_{\iota\iota} dx^\iota dx^\iota = \eta_{ab} \tilde{e}^a \tilde{e}^b + \tilde{e}^i \tilde{e}^i = \eta_{mn} \tilde{e}^m \tilde{e}^n .$$

Here the T-dual anholonomic vielbein co-base $\tilde{e}^l = (\tilde{e}^a, \tilde{e}^i)$ is given by

$$\tilde{e}^a = e^a = e^a_\alpha dx^\alpha , \quad \tilde{e}^i = \tilde{e}^i_\mu dx^\mu = \frac{\delta^i_\iota}{k} dx^\iota + \frac{v_\alpha}{k} dx^\alpha$$

from which we can read off the dual vielbein components $\tilde{e}^l_\lambda$

$$\tilde{e}^a_\alpha = e^a_\alpha , \quad \tilde{e}^i_\alpha = \frac{v_\alpha}{k} \tag{7}$$

$$\tilde{e}^a_\iota = 0 , \quad \tilde{e}^i_\iota = \frac{\delta^i_\iota}{k} . \tag{8}$$

and their inverses $\tilde{e}^\lambda_\iota$

$$\tilde{e}^\alpha_a = e^\alpha_a , \quad \tilde{e}^\alpha_i = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\tilde{e}^\iota_a = -e^\alpha_a v_\alpha , \quad \tilde{e}^\iota_i = \delta^\iota_i k . \tag{10}$$

A useful formula consists of the inverted relation $\delta^\iota_i dx^\iota = k \tilde{e}^i - e^\alpha_a v_\alpha e^a$. From $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{e}^\mu_m \tilde{e}^\nu_n \eta^{mn}$ we derive the inverse metric to be

$$\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} , \quad \tilde{g}^{\alpha\iota} = -g^{\alpha\beta} v_\beta , \quad \tilde{g}^{\iota\iota} = g^{\alpha\beta} v_\alpha v_\beta + k^2 .$$

The strategy of the calculation of the dual Riemann-tensor will now be as follows. With the aid of Cartan's structure equations, we determine the curvature tensor and its T-dual in the $e^l = \{e^a, e^i\}$, resp. T-dual $\tilde{e}^l = \{\tilde{e}^a, \tilde{e}^i\}$ co-base. In order to compare both of them, it is further necessary to switch to the equivalent expressions in the common holonomic co-base $dx^\mu = (dx^\alpha, dx^\iota)$ with the help of the aforementioned vielbeins.

Therefore, let us begin with (3) and avail ourselves of Cartan's first structure equation for the torsion-less case, $de^m + \omega^m_n \wedge e^n = 0$, to determine the connection 1-form ω

$$\omega^a_b = -\partial_{[\gamma} e^a_{\alpha]} e_{[c}^\gamma e_b]^\alpha e^c , \quad \omega^i_a = e^\alpha_a \partial_\alpha \ln k \cdot e^i , \quad \omega^i_i = 0 ,$$

Cartan's second structure equation, $d\omega_n^m + \omega_l^m \wedge \omega_n^l = R_n^m$, together with the expression for the curvature 2-form in the initial anholonomic co-base, $R_m^l = \frac{1}{2}R_{mnp}^l e^n \wedge e^p = \frac{1}{2}R_{mab}^l e^a \wedge e^b + R_{mia}^l e^i \wedge e^a$, allow us to extract after some algebra the initial curvature tensor⁵ as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{abcd} &= e_{[c}^\gamma e_{d]}^\delta \left(e_b^\beta \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma e_{a\delta} - \partial_{[\delta} e_{|a|} \partial_{\beta]} \cdot \partial_\gamma e_b^\beta \right) + e_b^\beta e_{[c}^\gamma \partial_{|\beta|} e_{d]}^\alpha \cdot \partial_{[\gamma} e_{|a|} \partial_{\alpha]} \\ R_{iabc} &= R_{abci} = 0 \\ R_{iaib} &= -e_{(a}^\alpha \partial_{|\alpha|} e_{b)}^\beta \cdot \partial_\beta \ln k - e_a^\alpha e_b^\beta (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \ln k + \partial_\alpha \ln k \cdot \partial_\beta \ln k) , \end{aligned}$$

whereas all other components are zero. By multiplying with the vielbein $R_{\lambda\mu\nu\pi} = e_\lambda^l e_\mu^m e_\nu^n e_\pi^p R_{lmnp}$, we subsequently arrive at the coordinate base expressions

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= \delta_{[\gamma}^\varepsilon e_{\delta]}^b \left(e_{aa} \partial_\beta e_b^\zeta \cdot \partial_{[\varepsilon} e_a^\zeta + \frac{1}{2} e_a^\zeta \partial_\zeta e_{b\alpha} \cdot \partial_\varepsilon e_a^\beta \right) + e_a^\alpha \partial_\beta \partial_{[\gamma} e_{|a|} \partial_{\delta]} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{[\gamma} e_a^\alpha \cdot \partial_{\delta]} e_{a\beta} \\ R_{\iota\alpha\beta\gamma} &= R_{\alpha\beta\iota\gamma} = 0 \\ R_{\iota\alpha\iota\beta} &= -k^2 (e_{(\alpha}^\alpha \partial_\beta) e_a^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_\varepsilon \ln k + \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \ln k + \partial_\alpha \ln k \cdot \partial_\beta \ln k) , \end{aligned}$$

and all other components zero.

Analogously, application of $d\tilde{e}^l + \tilde{\omega}_m^l \wedge \tilde{e}^m$ yields

$$\tilde{\omega}_b^a = \omega_b^a , \quad \tilde{\omega}_a^i = -e_b^\beta e_a^\alpha \frac{dv_{\beta\alpha}}{k} e^b - e_a^\alpha \partial_\alpha \ln k \tilde{e}^i , \quad \tilde{\omega}_i^i = 0 ,$$

where $dv_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \partial_{[\alpha} v_{\beta]}$ denotes the exterior derivative of v . From the relation $dv = -i_k H$, it is possible to substitute $dv_{\alpha\beta}$ in the subsequent formulae by the torsion 3-form through $dv_{\alpha\beta} = -H_{\iota\alpha\beta}$. Again, from Cartan's second structure equation, $d\tilde{\omega}_n^m + \tilde{\omega}_l^m \wedge \tilde{\omega}_n^l = \tilde{R}_n^m$, and the dual curvature 2-form $\tilde{R}_m^l = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}_{mnp}^l \tilde{e}^n \wedge \tilde{e}^p = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}_{mab}^l e^a \wedge e^b + \tilde{R}_{mia}^l \tilde{e}^i \wedge e^a$, we get the following non-coordinate frame expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{abcd} &= R_{abcd} - \frac{2}{k^2} e_a^\alpha e_b^\beta e_{[c}^\gamma e_{d]}^\delta dv_{\alpha\gamma} dv_{\beta\delta} \\ \tilde{R}_{iabc} &= \tilde{R}_{bcia} = -\frac{2}{k} e_a^\alpha e_{[b}^\beta e_{c]}^\gamma dv_{\beta\alpha} \cdot \partial_\gamma \ln k \\ \tilde{R}_{iaib} &= e_{(a}^\alpha \partial_{|\alpha|} e_{b)}^\beta \cdot \partial_\beta \ln k + e_a^\alpha e_b^\beta (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \ln k - \partial_\alpha \ln k \cdot \partial_\beta \ln k) . \end{aligned}$$

Switching to the coordinate base with the help of $\tilde{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\pi} = \tilde{e}_\lambda^l \tilde{e}_\mu^m \tilde{e}_\nu^n \tilde{e}_\pi^p \tilde{R}_{lmnp}$, one finally arrives, after some tedious algebra, at the desired expressions, which relate the T-dual

⁵We use the following anti- and symmetrization convention:

$$A_{(ab)} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{ab} + A_{ba}) \quad A_{[ab]} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{ab} - A_{ba})$$

curvature tensor to its counterpart for the initial metric

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{2}{k^2} & \left(dv_{\alpha[\delta} dv_{|\beta|\gamma]} - dv_{\alpha\gamma} \cdot v_{[\beta}\partial_{\delta]} \ln k + dv_{\beta\gamma} \cdot v_{[\alpha}\partial_{\delta]} \ln k + dv_{\alpha\delta} \cdot v_{[\beta}\partial_{\gamma]} \ln k \right. \\ & - dv_{\beta\delta} \cdot v_{[\alpha}\partial_{\gamma]} \ln k + v_{[\alpha} e^b_{\beta]} v_{[\gamma}\partial_{\delta]} e_b^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_\varepsilon \ln k + v_{[\gamma} e^b_{\delta]} v_{[\alpha}\partial_{\beta]} e_b^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_\varepsilon \ln k \\ & \left. + v_\gamma v_{[\alpha}\partial_{\beta]}\partial_{\delta]} \ln k - v_\delta v_{[\alpha}\partial_{\beta]}\partial_{\gamma]} \ln k - 2v_{[\alpha}\partial_{\beta]} \ln k \cdot v_{[\gamma}\partial_{\delta]} \ln k \right) \quad (11)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{\iota\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{2}{k^2} & \left(dv_{\alpha[\beta} \cdot \partial_{\gamma]} \ln k + \frac{1}{2} (e^a_{\alpha} v_{[\beta}\partial_{\gamma]} e_a^\delta + v_{[\beta} e^a_{\gamma]} \partial_{\alpha} e_a^\delta) \cdot \partial_\delta \ln k + v_{[\beta}\partial_{\gamma]} \partial_{\alpha} \ln k \right. \\ & \left. - v_{[\beta}\partial_{\gamma]} \ln k \cdot \partial_{\alpha} \ln k \right) \quad (12)\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{R}_{\iota\alpha\iota\beta} = -\frac{1}{k^2} \left(\frac{R_{\iota\alpha\iota\beta}}{k^2} + 2\partial_\alpha \ln k \cdot \partial_\beta \ln k \right). \quad (13)$$

As an application of these formulae, we now want to examine, whether d-dimensional AdS_d space allows a T-dualization to flat space under the inclusion of some suitably chosen $B_{\mu\nu}$. Because both spaces, regarded as string backgrounds, leave all supersymmetries intact this is a reasonable question to ask. We choose the following coordinate representation for AdS_d

$$ds^2 = \frac{r^2}{L^2} \left(-dt^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-2} dy^j dy^j \right) + L^2 \frac{dr^2}{r^2}, \quad (14)$$

with L being the AdS -radius. It exhibits a negative, constant curvature scalar $R = -d(d-1)/L^2$. Let us first choose for the isometry direction one of the y^j coordinates, e.g. y^{d-2} . We will see below how the results for this case extrapolate to the case of a different isometry direction. In our above convention, the adapted coordinates are $x^0 = t$, $x^1 = y^1, \dots, x^{d-3} = y^{d-3}$, $x^{d-2} = r$, $x^{d-1} \equiv x^\iota = y^{d-2}$. The curvature tensor of the negatively curved, maximally symmetric AdS_d is given by $R_{\lambda\mu\nu\pi} = -\frac{1}{L^2} (g_{\lambda\nu} g_{\mu\pi} - g_{\lambda\pi} g_{\mu\nu})$, which reduces in our parameterization to⁶

$$R_{\mu\nu\mu\nu} = -\frac{r^4}{L^6} \eta_{\mu\mu} \eta_{\nu\nu}, \quad R_{\mu r \mu r} = -\frac{1}{L^2} \eta_{\mu\mu}, \quad \mu, \nu \neq r$$

and all other components vanishing. In particular, this gives $R_{\iota\alpha\iota\beta} = -\frac{r^4}{L^6} \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \left(\frac{r^4}{L^6} - \frac{1}{L^2} \right) \delta_\alpha^r \delta_\beta^r$. Now, we had found one component, (13), of the dual curvature-tensor, which was independent of v , resp. $B_{\mu\nu}$ and hence allows answering the above posed question. Plugging in the actual norm of the Killing vector $k = r/L$ for the above AdS_d metric, we obtain for the right-hand side of (13) the expression $\frac{1}{L^2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} - \left(\frac{1}{L^2} + \frac{L^2}{r^4} \right) \delta_\alpha^r \delta_\beta^r$. Obviously, this does not fulfill the requirement $\tilde{R}_{\iota\alpha\iota\beta} = 0$ for flat space and is not even asymptotically flat.

⁶We choose the Minkowski metric to be $\eta = (-, +, \dots, +)$.

This can be extrapolated to any other isometry direction of AdS_d by noting that another isometry direction different from the above choice of y^{d-2} can be related to this one by a general coordinate transformation $x \rightarrow x'$. However, the tensor transformation property $\tilde{R}'_{\iota'\alpha'\iota'\beta'}(x') = (A_{\iota'}^\iota)^2 A_{\alpha'}^\alpha A_{\beta'}^\beta \tilde{R}_{\iota\alpha\iota\beta}(x)$, where $A_\nu^\mu = \partial x^\mu / \partial x'^\nu$, then guarantees that this term is still non-vanishing in contrast to what one would need for a dual flat spacetime. Thus, we can conclude that flat space cannot be reached from pure AdS_d via T-duality under the inclusion of some appropriately chosen $B_{\mu\nu}$.

Eventually, we turn briefly to the important $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ extension of AdS. Here it seems possible to relate the IIB D9-brane geometry, which describes D=10 space itself, to the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ geometry. In order to do so, one has to start with a six-fold T-duality, transforming the D9-brane to the D3-brane. Then, dualizing a IIB D3-brane to its own near-horizon geometry, one reaches $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$. This can be done following the work of [10]. Having T-dualized the D9-brane, the D3-brane geometry in string-frame reads

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{H_6}} \left(-dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 (dx^i)^2 \right) + \sqrt{H_6} \sum_{j=4}^9 (dx^j)^2, \\ e^\phi &= 1, & C_{0123} &= \frac{1}{H_6} - 1, \\ H_6 &= 1 + \frac{Q_{D3}}{(r_6)^4}, & Q_{D3} &= 4\pi g_s N l_s^4. \end{aligned}$$

Performing a further T-duality over x^3, x^2 brings us to the IIB D1-brane. An S-duality transformation then yields the fundamental IIB string solution

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{H_8} \left(-dt^2 + (dx^1)^2 \right) + \sum_{j=2}^9 (dx^j)^2, \quad (15)$$

$$e^\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{H_8}}, \quad B_{01} = \frac{1}{H_8} - 1, \quad (16)$$

$$H_8 = 1 + \frac{Q_{F1}}{(r_8)^6}, \quad Q_{F1} = d_1 g_s^2 N l_s^6, \quad (17)$$

which is then T-dualized over x_1 to obtain the IIA gravitational wave solution

$$ds^2 = (H_8 - 2) dt^2 + 2(H_8 - 1) dt dx^1 + H_8 dx^1 dx^1 + \sum_{j=2}^9 (dx^j)^2, \quad (18)$$

$$e^\phi = 1, \quad B_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (19)$$

The crucial step to get rid of the constant in the harmonic H_8 function, consists of performing first an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ coordinate transformation on the coordinates t, x^1 and subsequently a T-duality transformation in the new x'^1 direction. Provided that we choose the special $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ coordinate transformation

$$t' = \frac{1}{2} (t + x^1), \quad x'^1 = 2x^1, \quad (20)$$

we are guaranteed that the transformation is globally well-defined on (t, x^1) space, which has the topology of a cylinder due to the compactification of x^1 . After T-duality in x'^1 direction the result is a modified fundamental string without constant part in the harmonic function

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_8} \left(-(dt')^2 + (dx'^1)^2 \right) + \sum_{j=2}^9 (dx^j)^2, \quad (21)$$

$$e^\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_8}}, \quad B_{01} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_8} - 2, \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_8 = \frac{Q_{F1}}{(r_8)^6} \quad (23)$$

It is important to note that the last T-duality has again been along a space-like direction. Therefore we are secured to stay in the Type II string-theory framework instead of changing to Type II* [11].

Now, proceeding in the inverse manner, a second S-duality promotes us to a modified D1-brane. Ultimately, with two T-dualities in the x^2, x^3 directions we end up with a modified D3-brane solution without a constant part in its harmonic function. As is well-known, this gives the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ geometry. Notice, however, that the D3-brane solution is only locally dual to the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ solution. In order to perform the various T-dualities, the brane has to be wrapped on a torus with all worldvolume coordinates taken to be periodic. Therefore the coordinates of the final $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ geometry in addition have to be identified globally. As mentioned in [10] this has the effect that only half the Killing spinors of AdS_5 remain. Thus, only locally we have a maximally supersymmetric $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ solution. Globally, the D3-brane as well as the dual solution break half the supersymmetry.

A word of caution is in order. The spacetime-filling D9-brane is supposed to describe flat ten-dimensional Minkowski spacetime [12]. However, the D9-brane breaks half the supersymmetry, whereas flat space does not. The resolution to this puzzle comes from the well-known fact, that the open-string sector of N D9-branes is only consistent, if we have $N = 32$ of them and perform an orientifolding by the world-sheet parity $\Omega : \sigma \rightarrow \pi - \sigma$. This breaks half the supersymmetry and the resulting Type I $\text{SO}(32)$ theory gives us in addition to the flat ten-dimensional Minkowski solution a spacetime-filling O9 orientifold fixed plane. It would be interesting to study the influence of dualities on the cosmological constant in string-theory more generally. In particular the strongly coupled heterotic string [13] whose non-perturbative open membrane boundary-boundary interactions lead to a non-vanishing positive vacuum energy [14] (see also [15]) would be an interesting candidate to study.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by the DFG is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, *The large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200;
- [2] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge Theory Correlators from Noncritical String Theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (98) 105, hep-th/9802109;
- [3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter Space and Holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150;
- [4] O. Aharony, S.S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, *Large N Field Theories, String Theory and Gravity*, hep-th/9905111;
- [5] D. Polyakov, *On the NSR Formulation of String Theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$* , hep-th/9812044;
- [6] R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, *Supersymmetric D3-brane Action in $AdS_5 \times S^5$* , *Phys. Lett.* **B436** (1998) 281, hep-th/9806095; R. Kallosh and A.A. Tseytlin, *Simplifying Superstring Action on $AdS_5 \times S^5$* , *JHEP* **9810** (1998) 016, hep-th/9808088; I. Pesando, *A Kappa fixed Type IIB Superstring Action on $AdS_5 \times S^5$* , *JHEP* **9811** (1998) 002, hep-th/9808020; R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld and A. Rajaraman, *Near Horizon Superspace*, *JHEP* **9809** (1998) 002, hep-th/9805217; R. Kallosh and J. Rahmfeld, *The GS String Action on $AdS_5 \times S^5$* , *Phys. Lett.* **B443** (1998) 143, hep-th/9808038;
- [7] E. Verlinde, *On RG Flow and the Cosmological Constant*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17** (2000) 1277, hep-th/9912058; C. Schmidhuber, *ADS(5) and the 4D Cosmological Constant*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B580** (2000) 140, hep-th/9912156; A. Krause, *A Small Cosmological Constant, Grand Unification and Warped Geometry*, hep-th/0006226; *A Small Cosmological Constant and Backreaction of Non-Finetuned Parameters*, *JHEP* **0309** (2003) 016, hep-th/0007233; *Heterotic M-Theory, Warped Geometry and the Cosmological Constant Problem*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **49** (2001) 163;
- [8] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, J.L.F. Barbon and Y. Lozano, *Some Global Aspects of Duality in String Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B415** (1994) 71, hep-th/9309039;
- [9] M. Roček and E. Verlinde, *Duality, Quotients and Currents*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B373** (1992) 630, hep-th/9110053;
- [10] H.J. Boonstra, B. Peeters and K. Skenderis, *Duality and Asymptotic Geometries*, *Phys. Lett.* **B411** (1997) 59, hep-th/9706192;
- [11] C. M. Hull, *Timelike T-Duality, de Sitter Space, Large N Gauge Theories and Topological Field Theory*, *JHEP* **9807** (1998) 021, hep-th/9806146;

- [12] E. Bergshoeff and M. de Roo, *D-Branes and T-Duality*, *Phys. Lett.* **B380** (1996) 265, hep-th/9603123;
- [13] P. Hořava and E. Witten, *Heterotic and Type I String Dynamics from Eleven Dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B460** (1996) 506, hep-th/9510209; *Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity on a Manifold with Boundary*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B475** (1996) 94, hep-th/9603142; E. Witten, *Strong Coupling Expansion of Calabi-Yau Compactification*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B471** (1996) 135, hep-th/9602070; A. Lukas, B.A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, *On the Four-Dimensional Effective Action of Strongly Coupled Heterotic String Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B532** (1998) 43, hep-th/9710208; *The Ten-Dimensional Effective Action of Strongly Coupled Heterotic String Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B540** (1999) 230, hep-th/9801087; G. Curio and A. Krause, *Four-Flux and Warped Heterotic M-Theory Compactifications*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B602** (2001) 172, hep-th/0012152;
- [14] G. Moore, G. Peradze and N. Saulina, *Instabilities in Heterotic M-Theory induced by Open Membrane Instantons*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B607** (2001) 117, hep-th/0012104; G. Curio and A. Krause, *G-Fluxes and Non-Perturbative Stabilisation of Heterotic M-Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B643** (2002) 131, hep-th/0108220;
- [15] C. Bachas and B. Pioline, *High-Energy Scattering on Distant Branes*, *JHEP* **9912** (1999) 004, hep-th/9909171; A. Krause, *Testing Stability of M-Theory on an S^1/Z_2 Orbifold*, *JHEP* **0005** (2000) 046, hep-th/9909182; T. Dasgupta, M.R. Gaberdiel and M.B. Green, *The Type I D-Instanton and its M-Theory Origin*, *JHEP* **0008** (2000) 004, hep-th/0005211.